



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**  
Washington, D.C. 20231

|               |             |                       |                     |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 580778        | 9/11/90     | Barclay               | 2391-1              |

**ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.**

2391 - 1

Barclay

2391 -1

**EXAMINER**

Geckle

ART UNIT

5

DATE MAILED:

## **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

Date of interview 3/4/91

Type:  **Telephonic**     Personal (copy is given to \_\_\_\_\_)     applicant     applicant's representative).

**Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:**  Yes  No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Agreement**  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.  was not reached.

**Claims discussed:** Claim 1

**Identification of prior art discussed:** Lerza

4. *What is the relationship between the two main characters?*

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Tompkins discussed the Long reference and possible amendments to the claims. One aspect dealt with limiting the food product to ~~a~~<sup>a</sup> microorganism per se instead of either the microorganism or extracted omega 3-H UFA's. Another aspect dealt with claiming the food product similar to claim 1 with the exception of narrowing the scope in regard to the microorganism.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

---

**Examiner's Signature**

PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER